

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/824,428	04/15/2004	James F. Buller	50432-702 6497		
7590 04/28/2005  McDermott, Will & Emery 600 13th Street, N.W.			EXAMINER		
			VU, DAVID		
Washington, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2818		
			DATE MAILED: 04/28/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/824,428	BULLER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
DAVID VU	2818		

Advisory Action	10/824,428	BULLER ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DAVID VU	2818				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress			
• •		•				
HE REPLY FILED 06 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on	an $\hat{\sf SIX}$ MONTHS from the mailing date of $\hat{\sf ONLY}$ CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILE	OWITHIN TWO			
peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened states above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month parned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the s after the mailing date of the final rejection	The appropriate extension of inal Office action; or (2) on, even if timely filed, ma	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b) ly reduce any			
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS	but prior to the data of filing a bria	f will not be entered !	haaausa			
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	•	educing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ejected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
the non-allowable claim(s).	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 10-12 and 16-20.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	ut before or on the date of filing a l	Nation of Appeal will r	not be entered			
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apperry and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a (1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the contr	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attac	;hed.			
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	ince because:			
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
13.		Strubasel David Vu Primary Exami	•			
		David Vu	in <b>or</b>			
		Art Unit 2818				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 4-05)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments filed 04/06/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's arguments are regarding the step of forming a sidewall spacer on a nitride liner as recited in claim 10. Ngo et al. (US 6,521,529), as indicated in the final rejection, figure 4 clearly discloses claim features (a sidewall spacer 40 is formed on the nitride liner 24).

In response to Applicant's arguments that the reference to Ngo can not be properly applied against the present Application under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a), Applicant has not explicitly stated that the prior art 102(e) references to Ngo were commonly owned at the time the present invention was made, and have merely stated that they (i.e. the 102(e) references and the present invention) have that some time been subject to an obligation to the same person, see MPEP 706.02(1).